Asymptotic solutions for cracks in heterogeneous media Weight function and dipole matrix approach

A. Piccolroaz¹

G. Mishuris¹ A. Movchan² N. Movchan²

¹Institute of Mathematics and Physics Aberystwyth University and Wales Institute of Mathematical and Computational Sciences

> ²Department of Mathematical Sciences University of Liverpool

> > Gregynog 2011 May 23-25, 2011



This research has been supported by the European Union under a Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowship for Career Development FP7-PEOPLE-2009-IEE-252857 "INTERCRACKS"





FP7-PEOPLE-2009-IEF Marie Curie - INTERCRACKS

Title:	Unsolved problems in fracture mechanics of heterogeneous materials
Contract Type:	Intra-European Fellowships (IEF)
Researcher:	Dr. A. Piccolroaz
Host Institution:	Aberystwyth University
Coordinator:	Prof. G. Mishuris
Duration:	24 months
Website:	http://fp7.imaps.aber.ac.uk/intercracks.html

-

Topics of the project





- micromechanical derivation of transmission conditions for different types of interfaces
- derivation of weight functions for cracks along perfect and imperfect interfaces
- interfacial cracks interactions with micro inclusions, inducing crack acceleration and arrest, crack kinking, perturbation of the crack front
- stability analysis of interfacial cracks in dynamic regime
- crack nucleation from, and interaction with, material micro-instabilities
- modelling fracture propagation through lattice structures, keeping into account the interactions between atoms, to be used to disclose the features of failure at the nanoscale

Outline

Problem formulation

- Problem formulation
- Preliminary results on the unperturbed problem

The asymptotic procedure

- The structure of the asymptotic solution
- Regular perturbation and dipole fields generated by small defects
- Singular perturbation for crack advance
- Analysis of a stable quasi-static propagation

Illustrative examples

- Shielding and amplification effects
- Crack propagation and arrest

Outline

Problem formulation

Problem formulation

Preliminary results on the unperturbed problem

The asymptotic procedure

- The structure of the asymptotic solution
- Regular perturbation and dipole fields generated by small defects
- Singular perturbation for crack advance
- Analysis of a stable quasi-static propagation

Illustrative examples

- Shielding and amplification effects
- Crack propagation and arrest

Bimaterial plane with a dominant crack along the interface and small defects:



Bimaterial plane with a dominant crack along the interface and small defects:



Regular perturbations:

- Elastic inclusion
- 2 Microcrack
- 8 Rigid line inclusion

Singular perturbation:

Crack advance

Bimaterial plane with a dominant crack along the interface and small defects:



Regular perturbations:

- Elastic inclusion
- 2 Microcrack
- 8 Rigid line inclusion

Singular perturbation:

Crack advance

Small parameter ε : diameter of defect $2\varepsilon l, \varepsilon \ll 1$

Bimaterial plane with a dominant crack along the interface and small defects:



Regular perturbations:

- Elastic inclusion
- Rigid line inclusion

Singular perturbation:

Crack advance

Small parameter ε : diameter of defect $2\varepsilon l, \varepsilon \ll 1$

Assumptions:

- Perfect interfaces (continuity of displacements and tractions)
- 2 Linear elastic and isotropic materials
- The composite is dilute (neglect interactions between small defects)
- Stable quasi-static propagation (neglect inertia terms)
- Mode III deformation
- Loading on the crack surfaces

Bimaterial plane with a dominant crack along the interface and small defects:



Regular perturbations:

- Elastic inclusion
- Ø Microcrack
- 8 Rigid line inclusion

Singular perturbation:

Crack advance

Small parameter ε : diameter of defect $2\varepsilon l, \varepsilon \ll 1$

Assumptions:

- Perfect interfaces (continuity of displacements and tractions)
- 2 Linear elastic and isotropic materials
- The composite is dilute (neglect interactions between small defects)
- Stable quasi-static propagation (neglect inertia terms)
- Mode III deformation
- Loading on the crack surfaces



三日 のへで

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Laplace equation

$$\Delta u_{\pm}(x_1, x_2) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_{\pm}$$

 $\Delta u_i(x_1, x_2) = 0$ in g_{ε}



Laplace equation

$$\Delta u_{\pm}(x_1, x_2) = 0$$
 in Ω_{\pm}

$$\Delta u_i(x_1, x_2) = 0$$
 in g_{ε}



BC on the crack faces

$$\mu_{\pm}rac{\partial u_{\pm}}{\partial x_2}=p_{\pm}\quad$$
 on $\Gamma^{arepsilon}_{\pm}$

Laplace equation

$$\Delta u_{\pm}(x_1, x_2) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_{\pm}$$

$$\Delta u_i(x_1, x_2) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad g_{\varepsilon}$$



BC on the crack faces

$$\mu_{\pm}rac{\partial u_{\pm}}{\partial x_2}=p_{\pm}\quad$$
 on $\Gamma_{\pm}^{arepsilon}$

Interface conditions (interface between two half-planes)

$$u_{+} = u_{-}, \quad \mu_{+} \frac{\partial u_{+}}{\partial x_{2}} = \mu_{-} \frac{\partial u_{-}}{\partial x_{2}} \quad \text{on } \Gamma^{\varepsilon}$$

Laplace equation

$$\Delta u_{\pm}(x_1, x_2) = 0$$
 in Ω_{\pm}

$$\Delta u_i(x_1, x_2) = 0$$
 in g_{ε}



BC on the crack faces

$$\mu_{\pm}rac{\partial u_{\pm}}{\partial x_2}=p_{\pm}\quad ext{on }\Gamma_{\pm}^{arepsilon}$$

Interface conditions (interface between two half-planes)

$$u_{+} = u_{-}, \quad \mu_{+} \frac{\partial u_{+}}{\partial x_{2}} = \mu_{-} \frac{\partial u_{-}}{\partial x_{2}} \quad \text{on } \Gamma^{\varepsilon}$$

Transmission conditions for the elastic inclusion

$$u_{+} = u_{i}, \quad \mu_{+} \frac{\partial u_{+}}{\partial n} = \mu_{i} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial n} \quad \text{on } \partial g_{\varepsilon}$$

Laplace equation

$$\Delta u_{\pm}(x_1, x_2) = 0$$
 in Ω_{\pm}

$$\Delta u_i(x_1, x_2) = 0$$
 in g_{ε}



BC on the crack faces

$$\mu_{\pm}rac{\partial u_{\pm}}{\partial x_2}=p_{\pm}\quad ext{on }\Gamma_{\pm}^{arepsilon}$$

Interface conditions (interface between two half-planes)

$$u_{+} = u_{-}, \quad \mu_{+} \frac{\partial u_{+}}{\partial x_{2}} = \mu_{-} \frac{\partial u_{-}}{\partial x_{2}} \quad \text{on } \Gamma^{\varepsilon}$$

Transmission conditions for the elastic inclusion

$$u_+ = u_i, \quad \mu_+ \frac{\partial u_+}{\partial n} = \mu_i \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial n} \quad \text{on } \partial g_{\varepsilon}$$

• Traction-free conditions for the microcrack

$$\frac{\partial u_-}{\partial n} = 0$$
 on γ_2^{\pm}

Laplace equation

$$\Delta u_{\pm}(x_1, x_2) = 0$$
 in Ω_{\pm}

$$\Delta u_i(x_1, x_2) = 0$$
 in g_{ε}



BC on the crack faces

$$\mu_{\pm}rac{\partial u_{\pm}}{\partial x_2}=p_{\pm}\quad$$
 on $\Gamma_{\pm}^{arepsilon}$

Interface conditions (interface between two half-planes)

$$u_{+} = u_{-}, \quad \mu_{+} \frac{\partial u_{+}}{\partial x_{2}} = \mu_{-} \frac{\partial u_{-}}{\partial x_{2}} \quad \text{on } \Gamma^{\varepsilon}$$

Transmission conditions for the elastic inclusion

$$u_+ = u_i, \quad \mu_+ rac{\partial u_+}{\partial n} = \mu_i rac{\partial u_i}{\partial n} \quad ext{on } \partial g_{arepsilon}$$

Traction-free conditions for the microcrack

$$\frac{\partial u_{-}}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \gamma_2^{\pm}$$

Dirichlet BC for the rigid line inclusion

$$u_+ = u_*$$
 on γ_3°

Outline



- Problem formulation
- Preliminary results on the unperturbed problem

The asymptotic procedure

- The structure of the asymptotic solution
- Regular perturbation and dipole fields generated by small defects
- Singular perturbation for crack advance
- Analysis of a stable quasi-static propagation

Illustrative examples

- Shielding and amplification effects
- Crack propagation and arrest







Full-field solution by Mellin transform technique:

$$\begin{split} u_{\pm}^{(0)}(r,\theta) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\omega-i\infty}^{\omega+i\infty} \tilde{u}_{\pm}^{(0)}(s,\theta) r^{-s} ds, \quad \pm \theta \in (0,\pi), \quad 0 < \omega < 0.5 \\ \tilde{u}_{\pm}^{(0)}(s,\theta) &= -\frac{\sin(s\theta)}{\mu \pm s \cos(\pi s)} \langle \tilde{p} \rangle (s) \\ &+ \left[\frac{\cos(s\theta)}{(\mu_{+} + \mu_{-})s \sin(\pi s)} + \frac{(\mu_{+} - \mu_{-}) \sin(s\theta)}{2\mu \pm (\mu_{+} + \mu_{-})s \cos(\pi s)} \right] [\![\tilde{p}]\!](s) \end{split}$$



Full-field solution by Mellin transform technique:

$$\begin{split} u_{\pm}^{(0)}(r,\theta) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\omega-i\infty}^{\omega+i\infty} \tilde{u}_{\pm}^{(0)}(s,\theta) r^{-s} ds, \quad \pm \theta \in (0,\pi), \quad 0 < \omega < 0.5 \\ \tilde{u}_{\pm}^{(0)}(s,\theta) &= -\frac{\sin(s\theta)}{\mu \pm s \cos(\pi s)} \langle \tilde{p} \rangle (s) \\ &+ \left[\frac{\cos(s\theta)}{(\mu_{+} + \mu_{-})s \sin(\pi s)} + \frac{(\mu_{+} - \mu_{-}) \sin(s\theta)}{2\mu \pm (\mu_{+} + \mu_{-})s \cos(\pi s)} \right] [\![\tilde{p}]\!](s) \\ [\![f]\!] &= f_{+} - f_{-} \qquad \text{jump of the function } f \text{ across the line } x_{2} = 0 \end{split}$$

 $\langle f \rangle = (f_+ + f_-)/2$ average of the function *f* across the line $x_2 = 0$

3 3 9 9 9 9

Two-terms asymptotic expansion of the unperturbed solution:

traction ahead of the crack tip:

$$\sigma^{(0)}(r,0) = \frac{K_{\rm III}^{(0)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} r^{-1/2} + \frac{A_{\rm III}^{(0)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} r^{1/2} + O(r^{3/2}), \quad r \to 0$$

• displacement jump across the crack faces (crack opening):

$$\llbracket u^{(0)} \rrbracket(r) = \frac{\mu_+ + \mu_-}{\mu_+ \mu_-} \left(\frac{2K_{\mathsf{III}}^{(0)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} r^{1/2} - \frac{2A_{\mathsf{III}}^{(0)}}{3\sqrt{2\pi}} r^{3/2} \right) + O(r^{5/2}), \quad r \to 0$$

Two-terms asymptotic expansion of the unperturbed solution:

traction ahead of the crack tip:

$$\sigma^{(0)}(r,0) = \frac{K_{\rm III}^{(0)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} r^{-1/2} + \frac{A_{\rm III}^{(0)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} r^{1/2} + O(r^{3/2}), \quad r \to 0$$

displacement jump across the crack faces (crack opening):

$$\llbracket u^{(0)} \rrbracket(r) = \frac{\mu_+ + \mu_-}{\mu_+ \mu_-} \left(\frac{2K_{\text{III}}^{(0)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} r^{1/2} - \frac{2A_{\text{III}}^{(0)}}{3\sqrt{2\pi}} r^{3/2} \right) + O(r^{5/2}), \quad r \to 0$$

Integral representation for the constants:

$$K_{\rm III}^{(0)} = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \left\{ \langle p \rangle(-r) + \frac{\eta}{2} [\![p]\!](-r) \right\} r^{-1/2} dr \qquad \text{(SIF)}$$
$$A_{\rm III}^{(0)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \left\{ \langle p \rangle(-r) + \frac{\eta}{2} [\![p]\!](-r) \right\} r^{-3/2} dr$$

 $\eta = (\mu_{-} - \mu_{+})/(\mu_{+} + \mu_{-})$ contrast parameter

EL OQO

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Displacement gradient $\nabla u_{\pm}^{(0)}$ at an arbitrary point $Y = (d \cos \varphi, d \sin \varphi)$:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial u_{\pm}^{(0)}}{\partial x_1} \bigg|_{\mathbf{Y}} &= \frac{1}{\pi d} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{dx_1}{2\cos\varphi - x_1/d - d/x_1} \left\{ \frac{\llbracket p \rrbracket(x_1)}{\mu_+ + \mu_-} \left[\sin^2 \varphi - \frac{1}{2}\cos\varphi \left(\frac{d}{x_1} - \frac{x_1}{d} \right) \right] + \\ &+ \frac{2\langle p \rangle(x_1) + \eta \llbracket p \rrbracket(x_1)}{2\mu_{\pm}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{-x_1}{d}} \sin\frac{\varphi}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{d}{-x_1}} \sin\frac{3\varphi}{2} \right) \right\}, \end{split}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_{\pm}^{(0)}}{\partial x_2} \bigg|_{\mathbf{Y}} = -\frac{1}{\pi d} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{dx_1}{2\cos\varphi - x_1/d - d/x_1} \left\{ \frac{\llbracket p \rrbracket(x_1)\sin\varphi}{\mu_+ + \mu_-} \left[\cos\varphi + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d}{x_1} - \frac{x_1}{d}\right)\right] + \frac{2\langle p \rangle(x_1) + \eta \llbracket p \rrbracket(x_1)}{2\mu_{\pm}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{-x_1}{d}}\cos\frac{\varphi}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{d}{-x_1}}\cos\frac{3\varphi}{2}\right) \right\}.$$

Displacement gradient $\nabla u_{\pm}^{(0)}$ at an arbitrary point $Y = (d \cos \varphi, d \sin \varphi)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u_{\pm}^{(0)}}{\partial x_1} \bigg|_{\mathbf{Y}} &= \frac{1}{\pi d} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{dx_1}{2\cos\varphi - x_1/d - d/x_1} \left\{ \frac{\llbracket p \rrbracket(x_1)}{\mu_+ + \mu_-} \left[\sin^2 \varphi - \frac{1}{2}\cos\varphi \left(\frac{d}{x_1} - \frac{x_1}{d} \right) \right] + \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{2\langle p \rangle(x_1) + \eta \llbracket p \rrbracket(x_1)}{2\mu_{\pm}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{-x_1}{d}}\sin\frac{\varphi}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{d}{-x_1}}\sin\frac{3\varphi}{2} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_{\pm}^{(0)}}{\partial x_2} \bigg|_{\mathbf{Y}} = -\frac{1}{\pi d} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{dx_1}{2\cos\varphi - x_1/d - d/x_1} \left\{ \frac{\llbracket p \rrbracket(x_1)\sin\varphi}{\mu_+ + \mu_-} \left[\cos\varphi + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d}{x_1} - \frac{x_1}{d}\right)\right] + \frac{2\langle p \rangle(x_1) + \eta \llbracket p \rrbracket(x_1)}{2\mu_{\pm}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{-x_1}{d}}\cos\frac{\varphi}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{d}{-x_1}}\cos\frac{3\varphi}{2}\right) \right\}.$$

The point *Y* will be identified later with the centre of the defect.



Outline

Problem formulation

- Problem formulation
- Preliminary results on the unperturbed problem

The asymptotic procedure

The structure of the asymptotic solution

- Regular perturbation and dipole fields generated by small defects
- Singular perturbation for crack advance
- Analysis of a stable quasi-static propagation

Illustrative examples

- Shielding and amplification effects
- Crack propagation and arrest

$$u(\boldsymbol{x},\varepsilon) = u^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{3} W_{j}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{j}) + \varepsilon^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} u^{(j)}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \varepsilon^{2} v(\boldsymbol{x},\phi) + o(\varepsilon^{2}), \quad \varepsilon \to 0$$



$$u(\boldsymbol{x},\varepsilon) = \underbrace{u^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{x})}_{j=1} + \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{3} W_{j}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{j}) + \varepsilon^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} u^{(j)}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \varepsilon^{2} v(\boldsymbol{x},\phi) + o(\varepsilon^{2}), \quad \varepsilon \to 0$$



Solution of the unperturbed problem ($\varepsilon = 0$)

$$u(\boldsymbol{x},\varepsilon) = \underbrace{u^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{x})}_{j=1} + \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{3} W_{j}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{j}) + \varepsilon^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} u^{(j)}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \varepsilon^{2} v(\boldsymbol{x},\phi) + o(\varepsilon^{2}), \quad \varepsilon \to 0$$



Solution of the unperturbed problem ($\varepsilon = 0$)

$$u(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon) = u^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{3} W_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}_j) + \varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=1}^{3} u^{(j)}(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon^2 v(\mathbf{x},\phi) + o(\varepsilon^2), \quad \varepsilon \to 0$$



Solution of the unperturbed problem (ε = 0)
Boundary layers concentrated near the defects

$$u(\boldsymbol{x},\varepsilon) = u^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{3} W_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}_j) + \varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=1}^{3} u^{(j)}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \varepsilon^2 v(\boldsymbol{x},\phi) + o(\varepsilon^2), \quad \varepsilon \to 0$$



Solution of the unperturbed problem ($\varepsilon = 0$)

- Boundary layers concentrated near the defects
- Additional terms to adjust the BC and IC disturbed by the boundary layers

$$u(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon) = u^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{3} W_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}_j) + \varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=1}^{3} u^{(j)}(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon^2 v(\mathbf{x},\phi) + o(\varepsilon^2), \quad \varepsilon \to 0$$



Solution of the unperturbed problem ($\varepsilon = 0$)

- Boundary layers concentrated near the defects
- Additional terms to adjust the BC and IC disturbed by the boundary layers
- Perturbation associated with the crack advance $\varepsilon^2 \phi$

$$u(\boldsymbol{x},\varepsilon) = u^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{3} W_{j}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{j}) + \varepsilon^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} u^{(j)}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \varepsilon^{2} v(\boldsymbol{x},\phi) + o(\varepsilon^{2}), \quad \varepsilon \to 0$$



• Solution of the unperturbed problem ($\varepsilon = 0$)

- Boundary layers concentrated near the defects
- Additional terms to adjust the BC and IC disturbed by the boundary layers
 - Perturbation associated with the crack advance $\varepsilon^2\phi$
- Using the linearity of the problem, we analyse the perturbation of each defect separately (superposition principle).
- The method can be extended to a finite number of defects, provided that the distance between defects remains finite (composite is dilute).

Outline

Problem formulation

- Problem formulation
- Preliminary results on the unperturbed problem

The asymptotic procedure

- The structure of the asymptotic solution
- Regular perturbation and dipole fields generated by small defects
- Singular perturbation for crack advance
- Analysis of a stable quasi-static propagation

Illustrative examples

- Shielding and amplification effects
- Crack propagation and arrest

The boundary layer for an elliptic elastic inclusion






The BVP for the boundary layer $W(\boldsymbol{\xi})$:

$$\Delta W^{in}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = 0, \quad \boldsymbol{\xi} \in g, \quad \Delta W^{out} = 0, \quad \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{g}$$
$$W^{in} = W^{out} \quad \text{on} \quad \partial g$$
$$\mu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}} W^{in}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) - \mu_+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}} W^{out}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = (\mu_+ - \mu_i) \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{Y}) + O(\varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon \to 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial g$$
$$W \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad |\boldsymbol{\xi}| \to \infty$$





The BVP for the boundary layer $W(\boldsymbol{\xi})$:

$$\Delta W^{in}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = 0, \quad \boldsymbol{\xi} \in g, \quad \Delta W^{out} = 0, \quad \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{g}$$
$$W^{in} = W^{out} \quad \text{on} \quad \partial g$$
$$\mu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}} W^{in}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) - \mu_+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}} W^{out}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = (\mu_+ - \mu_i) \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{Y}) + O(\varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon \to 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial g$$
$$W \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad |\boldsymbol{\xi}| \to \infty$$





The BVP for the boundary layer $W(\boldsymbol{\xi})$:

$$\Delta W^{in}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = 0, \quad \boldsymbol{\xi} \in g, \quad \Delta W^{out} = 0, \quad \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{g}$$
$$W^{in} = W^{out} \quad \text{on} \quad \partial g$$
$$\mu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}} W^{in}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) - \mu_+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}} W^{out}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = (\mu_+ - \mu_i) \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{Y}) + O(\varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon \to 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial g$$
$$W \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad |\boldsymbol{\xi}| \to \infty$$

This problem can be reduced to a well-known problem¹: *"Elliptic elastic inclusion in an infinite elastic plate subject to constant stresses at infinity"*

¹N.J. Hardiman, QJMAM, 1954

Elliptic elastic inclusion in an infinite elastic plate subject to constant stresses at infinity



Elliptic elastic inclusion in an infinite elastic plate subject to constant stresses at infinity



The BVP:

$$\Delta u^{in} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad g, \quad \Delta u^{out} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \setminus \overline{g}$$
$$u^{in} = u^{out}, \quad \mu_i \frac{\partial u^{in}}{\partial n} = \mu_+ \frac{\partial u^{out}}{\partial n} \quad \text{on} \quad \partial g$$
$$u^{out} = B_1 x + B_2 y + O(1) \quad \text{as} \quad r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \to \infty$$

Elliptic elastic inclusion in an infinite elastic plate subject to constant stresses at infinity





The BVP:

 $\Delta u^{in}=0 \quad \text{in} \quad g, \quad \Delta u^{out}=0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \setminus \overline{g}$

$$u^{in} = u^{out}, \quad \mu_i \frac{\partial u^{in}}{\partial n} = \mu_+ \frac{\partial u^{out}}{\partial n} \quad \text{on} \quad \partial g$$

 $u^{out} = B_1 x + B_2 y + O(1) \quad \text{as} \quad r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \to \infty$

Elliptic elastic inclusion in an infinite elastic plate subject to constant stresses at infinity



 $u = D_1 x + D_2 y + O(1)$ us r

Solution:

$$u^{in} = A_1 x + A_2 y, \quad \text{in} \quad g$$
$$u^{out} = B_1 x + B_2 y - \frac{1}{2\pi} \{ B_1, B_2 \} \cdot \mathcal{M} \frac{\{x, y\}}{x^2 + y^2} + O(r^{-2}), \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty$$

 \mathcal{M} is a 2×2 matrix, it is called the dipole matrix

Elliptic elastic inclusion in an infinite elastic plate subject to constant stresses at infinity



$$u^{out} = B_1 x + B_2 y - \frac{1}{2\pi} \{ B_1, B_2 \} \cdot \mathcal{M} \frac{\{x, y\}}{x^2 + y^2} + O(r^{-2}), \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty$$

 \mathcal{M} is a 2×2 matrix, it is called the dipole matrix

Subtracting the linear term from the Hardiman solution we get the boundary layer:

$$W^m(\xi) = u^m - B_1 x - B_2 y = A_1 x + A_2 y - B_1 x + B_2 y$$
, in g

$$W^{out}(\xi) = u^{out} - B_1 x - B_2 y = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \{B_1, B_2\} \cdot \mathcal{M} \frac{\{x, y\}}{x^2 + y^2} + O(r^{-2}), \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty$$

Subtracting the linear term from the Hardiman solution we get the boundary layer:

$$W^{out}(\xi) = u^{out} - B_1 x - B_2 y = A_1 x + A_2 y - B_1 x + B_2 y, \quad \text{in} \quad g$$
$$W^{out}(\xi) = u^{out} - B_1 x - B_2 y = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \{B_1, B_2\} \cdot \mathcal{M} \frac{\{x, y\}}{x^2 + y^2} + O(r^{-2}), \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty$$



Subtracting the linear term from the Hardiman solution we get the boundary layer:

Subtracting the linear term from the Hardiman solution we get the boundary layer:

$$W^{in}(\xi) = u^{in} - B_{1x} - B_{2y} = A_{1x} + A_{2y} - B_{1x} + B_{2y}, \text{ in } g$$

$$W^{out}(\xi) = u^{out} - B_{1x} - B_{2y} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \{B_1, B_2\} \cdot \mathcal{M} \frac{\{x, y\}}{x^2 + y^2} + O(r^{-2}), \text{ as } r \to \infty$$

$$\overset{\xi_2}{\underset{x}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}{\overset{2}}{\overset$$

Dipole field:

$$\varepsilon^2 w(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2\pi} \left[\left. \nabla u^{(0)} \right|_{\mathbf{Y}} \right] \cdot \left[\mathbf{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Y}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Y}|^2} \right] + o(\varepsilon^2), \quad \varepsilon \to 0$$

Dipole matrix:

$$\mathcal{M} = -\frac{\pi}{2}ab(1+e)(\mu_{\star}-1) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1+\cos 2\alpha}{e+\mu_{\star}} + \frac{1-\cos 2\alpha}{1+e\mu_{\star}} & -\frac{(1-e)(\mu_{\star}-1)\sin 2\alpha}{(e+\mu_{\star})(1+e\mu_{\star})} \\ -\frac{(1-e)(\mu_{\star}-1)\sin 2\alpha}{(e+\mu_{\star})(1+e\mu_{\star})} & \frac{1-\cos 2\alpha_{1}}{e+\mu_{\star}} + \frac{1+\cos 2\alpha}{1+e\mu_{\star}} \end{bmatrix}$$

40

 $\mu_{-} x_{1}$

Dipole fields and dipole matrix for different types of defects

The dipole field for other type of defects can be found with a similar procedure:

$$\varepsilon^2 w(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2\pi} \left[\left. \nabla u^{(0)} \right|_{\mathbf{Y}} \right] \cdot \left[\mathbf{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Y}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Y}|^2} \right] + o(\varepsilon^2), \quad \varepsilon \to 0$$

Dipole fields and dipole matrix for different types of defects

The dipole field for other type of defects can be found with a similar procedure:

$$\varepsilon^{2}w(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2\pi} \left[\nabla u^{(0)} \Big|_{\mathbf{Y}} \right] \cdot \left[\mathcal{M} \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Y}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Y}|^{2}} \right] + o(\varepsilon^{2}), \quad \varepsilon \to 0$$

$$\overset{\text{iso}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{M} = -\pi l^{2} \begin{bmatrix} \sin^{2}\alpha & -\sin\alpha\cos\alpha \\ -\sin\alpha\cos\alpha & \cos^{2}\alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\overset{\text{iso}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{M} = \pi l^{2} \begin{bmatrix} \cos^{2}\alpha & \sin\alpha\cos\alpha \\ \sin\alpha\cos\alpha & \sin^{2}\alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\overset{\text{iso}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{M} = -\frac{\pi}{2}ab(1/e+1) \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \cos2\alpha + e(1 + \cos2\alpha) & -(1 - e)\sin2\alpha \\ -(1 - e)\sin2\alpha & 1 + \cos2\alpha + e(1 - \cos2\alpha) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\overset{\text{elliptic void}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{M} = \frac{\pi}{2}ab(1/e+1) \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \cos2\alpha + e(1 - \cos2\alpha) & (1 - e)\sin2\alpha \\ -(1 - e)\sin2\alpha & 1 + \cos2\alpha + e(1 - \cos2\alpha) \end{bmatrix}$$

Dipole fields and dipole matrix for different types of defects

The dipole field for other type of defects can be found with a similar procedure:

$$\varepsilon^{2} w(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2\pi} \left[\nabla u^{(0)} \Big|_{\mathbf{Y}} \right] \cdot \left[\mathcal{M} \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Y}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Y}|^{2}} \right] + o(\varepsilon^{2}), \quad \varepsilon \to 0$$

$$\overset{\text{since constant of } \mathbf{M} = -\pi l^{2} \begin{bmatrix} \sin^{2} \alpha & -\sin \alpha \cos \alpha \\ -\sin \alpha \cos \alpha & \cos^{2} \alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\overset{\text{microcrack}}{\underset{\text{rigid line inclusion}}{\underset{\text{microcrack}}{\underset{\text{since constant of } \alpha \\ \sin \alpha \cos \alpha \\ \sin \alpha \cos \alpha \\ \sin^{2} \alpha \\ -\sin^{2} \alpha \\ \sin^{2} \alpha \\ \sin^{$$

For an inclusion/void of general shape it is always possible to define an equivalent elliptic inclusion/void.

Andrea Piccolroaz (Aberystwyth University)

Image: Image:





- Line defect with soft bonding (stiffness κ): $[\![\sigma]\!](s) = 0$, $\sigma(s) = \kappa[\![u]\!](s)$
 - $\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \kappa=0 & \Rightarrow & \sigma(s)=0 & {\rm microcrack} \\ \kappa=\infty & \Rightarrow & \llbracket u \rrbracket(s)=0 & {\rm perfect \ bonding \ (no \ defect)} \end{array} \right.$



• Line defect with soft bonding (stiffness κ): $[\![\sigma]\!](s) = 0$, $\sigma(s) = \kappa[\![u]\!](s)$

$$\begin{cases} \kappa = 0 \implies \sigma(s) = 0 & \text{microcrack} \\ \kappa = \infty \implies [\![u]\!](s) = 0 & \text{perfect bonding (no defect)} \end{cases}$$

• Stiff line defect (stiffness κ): $[\![u]\!](s) = 0$, $[\![\sigma]\!](s) + \kappa \left. \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial s^2} \right|_{\gamma^{\epsilon}} = 0$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \kappa = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad [\![\sigma]\!](s) = 0 \quad \text{no defect} \\ \kappa = \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial s^2} \Big|_{\gamma^{\epsilon}} = 0 \quad \text{rigid line inclusion} \end{array} \right.$$



• Line defect with soft bonding (stiffness κ): $[\![\sigma]\!](s) = 0$, $\sigma(s) = \kappa[\![u]\!](s)$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \kappa=0 & \Rightarrow & \sigma(s)=0 & {\rm microcrack} \\ \kappa=\infty & \Rightarrow & \llbracket u \rrbracket(s)=0 & {\rm perfect \ bonding \ (no \ defect)} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\mathcal{M} = -\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{l^2}{\kappa l + 1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \cos 2\alpha & -\sin 2\alpha \\ -\sin 2\alpha & 1 + \cos 2\alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

• Stiff line defect (stiffness κ): $[\![u]\!](s) = 0$, $[\![\sigma]\!](s) + \kappa \left. \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial s^2} \right|_{\gamma^{\epsilon}} = 0$

$$\begin{cases} \kappa = 0 \implies [\![\sigma]\!](s) = 0 & \text{no defect} \\ \kappa = \infty \implies \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial s^2} \Big|_{\gamma^{\epsilon}} = 0 & \text{rigid line inclusion} \\ \mathcal{M} = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\kappa l^2}{\kappa + l} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \cos 2\alpha & \sin 2\alpha \\ \sin 2\alpha & 1 - \cos 2\alpha \end{bmatrix} \end{cases}$$



On the crack faces and on the interface:

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = u^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon^2 w_1(\mathbf{x})$$



On the crack faces and on the interface:

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = u^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon^2 w_1(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon^2 u^{(1)}(\mathbf{x})$$





・ロ> < @> < E> < E> El= のQQ





<ロ> <日> <日> <日> <日> <日> <日> <日> <日</p>



Outline

Problem formulation

- Problem formulation
- Preliminary results on the unperturbed problem

The asymptotic procedure

- The structure of the asymptotic solution
- Regular perturbation and dipole fields generated by small defects
- Singular perturbation for crack advance
- Analysis of a stable quasi-static propagation

Illustrative examples

- Shielding and amplification effects
- Crack propagation and arrest

Singular perturbation for crack advance



¹Piccolroaz et al., JMPS 2009

Singular perturbation for crack advance



¹Piccolroaz et al., JMPS 2009

Singular perturbation for crack advance



The weight functions¹:

$$\llbracket U \rrbracket(x_1) = \begin{cases} \frac{1-i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} x_1^{-1/2}, & x_1 > 0, \\ 0, & x_1 < 0, \end{cases} \langle U \rangle(x_1) = \eta/2 \llbracket U \rrbracket(x_1), \\ 0, & x_1 < 0, \end{cases}$$
$$\langle \Sigma \rangle(x_1) = \begin{cases} 0, & x_1 > 0, \\ \frac{(1-i)\mu + \mu_{-}}{2\sqrt{2\pi}(\mu_{+} + \mu_{-})} (-x_1)^{-3/2}, & x_1 < 0. \end{cases}$$

The weight functions are special singular solution of the homogeneous problem (traction-free crack faces).

¹Piccolroaz et al., JMPS 2009





Write the Betti identity for the unperturbed solution and for the perturbed solution, subtract one from the other and apply Fourier transform:

$$\llbracket \overline{U} \rrbracket^+ (\overline{\sigma}_0^+ - e^{i\beta\varepsilon^2\phi}\overline{\sigma}_\star^+) - \overline{\Sigma}^- (\llbracket \overline{u}_0 \rrbracket^- - e^{i\beta\varepsilon^2\phi} \llbracket \overline{u}_\star \rrbracket^-) = 0$$



Write the Betti identity for the unperturbed solution and for the perturbed solution, subtract one from the other and apply Fourier transform:

$$[\![\overline{U}]\!]^+(\overline{\sigma}_0^+ - e^{i\beta\varepsilon^2\phi}\overline{\sigma}_\star^+) - \overline{\Sigma}^-([\![\overline{u}_0]\!]^- - e^{i\beta\varepsilon^2\phi}[\![\overline{u}_\star]\!]^-) = 0$$

Two terms asymptotics:

$$\overline{\sigma}_{0}^{+} = \frac{(1+i)K_{\mathrm{III}}^{(0)}}{2}\beta_{+}^{-1/2} - \frac{(1-i)A_{\mathrm{III}}^{(0)}}{4}\beta_{+}^{-3/2} + O(\beta_{+}^{-5/2}), \quad \beta_{\pm} \to \infty$$
$$[[\overline{u}_{0}]]^{-} = -\frac{(1+i)(\mu_{+} + \mu_{-})K_{\mathrm{III}}^{(0)}}{2\mu_{+}\mu_{-}}\beta_{-}^{-3/2} + \frac{(1-i)(\mu_{+} + \mu_{-})A_{\mathrm{III}}^{(0)}}{4\mu_{+}\mu_{-}}\beta_{-}^{-5/2} + O(\beta_{-}^{-7/2}), \quad \beta_{\pm} \to \infty$$



Write the Betti identity for the unperturbed solution and for the perturbed solution, subtract one from the other and apply Fourier transform:

$$[\![\overline{U}]\!]^+(\overline{\sigma}_0^+ - e^{i\beta\varepsilon^2\phi}\overline{\sigma}_\star^+) - \overline{\Sigma}^-([\![\overline{u}_0]\!]^- - e^{i\beta\varepsilon^2\phi}[\![\overline{u}_\star]\!]^-) = 0$$

Two terms asymptotics:

$$\overline{\sigma}_{0}^{+} = \frac{(1+i)K_{\mathrm{III}}^{(0)}}{2}\beta_{+}^{-1/2} - \frac{(1-i)A_{\mathrm{III}}^{(0)}}{4}\beta_{+}^{-3/2} + O(\beta_{+}^{-5/2}), \quad \beta_{\pm} \to \infty$$
$$[[\overline{u}_{0}]]^{-} = -\frac{(1+i)(\mu_{+} + \mu_{-})K_{\mathrm{III}}^{(0)}}{2\mu_{+}\mu_{-}}\beta_{-}^{-3/2} + \frac{(1-i)(\mu_{+} + \mu_{-})A_{\mathrm{III}}^{(0)}}{4\mu_{+}\mu_{-}}\beta_{-}^{-5/2} + O(\beta_{-}^{-7/2}), \quad \beta_{\pm} \to \infty$$

Substituting into the Betti identity and collecting like powers of β_+ :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1+i}{2} (K_{\rm III}^{(0)} - K_{\rm III}^{\star}) + \frac{1-i}{4} i \varepsilon^2 \phi A_{\rm III}^{\star} \\ \end{bmatrix} (\beta_+^{-1} - \beta_-^{-1}) + O(\beta^{-2}) = 0 \\ K_{\rm III}^{\star} - K_{\rm III}^{(0)} := \varepsilon^2 \Delta K_{\rm III}^{\phi} = \frac{\varepsilon^2 \phi}{2} A_{\rm III}^{(0)} \\ A_{\rm III}^{(0)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 \left(\langle p \rangle (x_1) + \frac{\eta}{2} [\![p]\!] (x_1) \right) (-x_1)^{-3/2} dx_1 \end{cases}$$

Outline

Problem formulation

- Problem formulation
- Preliminary results on the unperturbed problem

The asymptotic procedure

- The structure of the asymptotic solution
- Regular perturbation and dipole fields generated by small defects
- Singular perturbation for crack advance
- Analysis of a stable quasi-static propagation

Illustrative examples

- Shielding and amplification effects
- Crack propagation and arrest

Analysis of a stable quasi-static propagation

The stress intensity factor is expanded as follows:

$$K_{\rm III} = K_{\rm III}^{(0)} + \varepsilon^2 \left(\Delta K_{\rm III}^{\phi} + \sum_{j=1}^3 \Delta K_{\rm III}^{(j)} \right) + o(\varepsilon^2), \quad \varepsilon \to 0$$

 $\Delta K^\phi_{\rm III} = \frac{\varepsilon^2 \phi}{2} A^{(0)}_{\rm III}: \mbox{perturbation produced by the} \\ \mbox{elongation of the crack along the} \\ \mbox{interface}$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{3} \Delta K_{\mathrm{III}}^{(j)}$$
 : perturbation produced by the defects

= 200
Analysis of a stable quasi-static propagation

The stress intensity factor is expanded as follows:

$$K_{\mathrm{III}} = K_{\mathrm{III}}^{(0)} + \varepsilon^2 \left(\Delta K_{\mathrm{III}}^{\phi} + \sum_{j=1}^3 \Delta K_{\mathrm{III}}^{(j)} \right) + o(\varepsilon^2), \quad \varepsilon \to 0$$

 $\Delta K^{\phi}_{\rm III} = \frac{\varepsilon^2 \phi}{2} A^{(0)}_{\rm III}: \mathop{\rm perturbation}_{\rm elongation of the crack along the}_{\rm interface}$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{3} \Delta K_{\mathrm{III}}^{(j)}$$
 : perturbation produced by the defects

We assume that the crack propagation is stable and quasi-static ($G = G_c$): $\Delta G = 0$

Analysis of a stable quasi-static propagation

The stress intensity factor is expanded as follows:

$$K_{\rm III} = K_{\rm III}^{(0)} + \varepsilon^2 \left(\Delta K_{\rm III}^{\phi} + \sum_{j=1}^3 \Delta K_{\rm III}^{(j)} \right) + o(\varepsilon^2), \quad \varepsilon \to 0$$

 $\Delta K^{\phi}_{\rm III} = \frac{\varepsilon^2 \phi}{2} A^{(0)}_{\rm III}: \mbox{perturbation produced by the} \\ \mbox{elongation of the crack along the} \\ \mbox{interface}$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{3} \Delta K_{\mathrm{III}}^{(j)}$$
 : perturbation produced by the defects

We assume that the crack propagation is stable and quasi-static ($G = G_c$): $\Delta G = 0$

$$G = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_{+}} + \frac{1}{\mu_{-}} \right) K_{\text{III}}^{2} \implies \Delta K_{\text{III}}^{\phi} + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \Delta K_{\text{III}}^{(j)} = 0 \implies \left(\phi = -\frac{2}{A_{\text{III}}^{(0)}} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \Delta K_{\text{III}}^{(j)} \right)$$
$$\Delta K_{\text{III}}^{(j)} = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\mu_{+}\mu_{-}}{\mu_{+} + \mu_{-}} \nabla u^{(0)} \Big|_{\mathbf{Y}_{j}} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{j} \mathbf{c}_{j}, \qquad \mathbf{c}_{j} = \frac{1}{2d_{j}^{3/2}} \left[-\sin\frac{3\varphi_{j}}{2}, \cos\frac{3\varphi_{j}}{2} \right]$$

> < = > < = > = = < < <

Outline

Problem formulation

- Problem formulation
- Preliminary results on the unperturbed problem

The asymptotic procedure

- The structure of the asymptotic solution
- Regular perturbation and dipole fields generated by small defects
- Singular perturbation for crack advance
- Analysis of a stable quasi-static propagation

Illustrative examples

- Shielding and amplification effects
- Crack propagation and arrest

Given the geometry and position of the defect:

$$\Delta K_{\mathsf{III}} = -\sqrt{rac{2}{\pi}} rac{\mu_+\mu_-}{\mu_++\mu_-} \left.
abla u^{(0)}
ight|_{m{Y}} \cdot m{\mathcal{M}}m{c}$$

Given the geometry and position of the defect:

$$\Delta K_{\mathsf{III}} = -\sqrt{rac{2}{\pi}} rac{\mu_+\mu_-}{\mu_++\mu_-} \left.
abla u^{(0)} \right|_{m{Y}} \cdot m{\mathcal{M}}m{c}$$

Definition:

- $\Delta K_{\text{III}} < 0$: shielding effect
- $\Delta K_{\text{III}} > 0$: amplification effect
- $\Delta K_{\parallel\parallel} = 0$: neutral

"the defect is *preventing* the propagation" "the defect is *promoting* the propagation" "the defect has no effect"

Given the geometry and position of the defect:

$$\Delta K_{\mathsf{III}} = -\sqrt{rac{2}{\pi}} rac{\mu_+\mu_-}{\mu_++\mu_-} \left.
abla u^{(0)} \right|_{m{Y}} \cdot m{\mathcal{M}}m{c}$$

Definition:

$\Delta K_{\rm III} < 0$:	shielding effect	"the defect is preventing the propagation"
$\Delta K_{\rm III} > 0$:	amplification effect	"the defect is promoting the propagation"
$\Delta K_{\rm III} = 0$:	neutral	"the defect has no effect"

Example: shielding/amplification diagrams for macro-microcrack interaction



Given the geometry and position of the defect:

$$\Delta K_{\mathsf{III}} = -\sqrt{rac{2}{\pi}} rac{\mu_+\mu_-}{\mu_++\mu_-} \left.
abla u^{(0)} \right|_{m{Y}} \cdot m{\mathcal{M}}m{c}$$

Definition:

 $\begin{array}{lll} \Delta K_{\rm III} < 0 & shielding \ effect & ``the \ defect \ is \ preventing \ the \ propagation'' \\ \Delta K_{\rm III} > 0 & amplification \ effect & ``the \ defect \ is \ promoting \ the \ propagation'' \\ \Delta K_{\rm III} = 0 & neutral & ``the \ defect \ has \ no \ effect'' \end{array}$

Example: shielding/amplification diagrams for macro-microcrack interaction



Homogeneous plane:

Bimaterial plane:





Outline

Problem formulation

- Problem formulation
- Preliminary results on the unperturbed problem

The asymptotic procedure

- The structure of the asymptotic solution
- Regular perturbation and dipole fields generated by small defects
- Singular perturbation for crack advance
- Analysis of a stable quasi-static propagation

Illustrative examples

- Shielding and amplification effects
- Crack propagation and arrest

Crack propagation and arrest



Given a configuration of defects and position of the crack tip, the incremental crack advance ϕ is given by:

$$\phi = -\frac{2}{A_{\mathrm{III}}^{(0)}} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \Delta K_{\mathrm{III}}^{(j)}$$

Crack propagation and arrest



Given a configuration of defects and position of the crack tip, the incremental crack advance ϕ is given by:

$$\phi = -\frac{2}{A_{\rm III}^{(0)}} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \Delta K_{\rm III}^{(j)}$$

It is possible to update the configuration with the new position of the crack tip and recompute the incremental crack advance in the new configuration, following an iterative procedure:

- the crack "accelerates" when the increment ϕ is increasing
- the crack "decelerates" when the increment ϕ is decreasing
- the crack "arrests" when a neutral configuration is reached ($\phi = 0$)

Crack propagation and arrest



Given a configuration of defects and position of the crack tip, the incremental crack advance ϕ is given by:

$$\phi = -\frac{2}{A_{\rm III}^{(0)}} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \Delta K_{\rm III}^{(j)}$$

It is possible to update the configuration with the new position of the crack tip and recompute the incremental crack advance in the new configuration, following an iterative procedure:

- the crack "accelerates" when the increment ϕ is increasing
- the crack "decelerates" when the increment ϕ is decreasing
- the crack "arrests" when a neutral configuration is reached ($\phi = 0$)

The total crack elongation is computed as:

$$x(N) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \varepsilon^2 \phi_i$$

where N is the number of iterations.

Numerical example

Main crack interacting with a microcrack (MC) and a rigid line inclusion (RL):



Crack propagation and arrest ($\varphi_1 = \pi/8, \alpha_1 = 0, \pi/8, \pi/4, 3\pi/8, \pi/2$):



Andrea Piccolroaz (Aberystwyth University)





The asymptotic procedure is based on the *dipole matrix* and *weight function* approach:

The asymptotic procedure is based on the *dipole matrix* and *weight function* approach:

The dipole field describes the perturbation produced by a small defect placed in a homogeneous stress field and gives rise to "effective" tractions applied along the crack faces:

$$w(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\left. \nabla u^{(0)} \right|_{\mathbf{Y}} \right] \cdot \left[\mathcal{M} \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Y}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Y}|^2} \right]$$

The small defect is replaced by "effective" tractions on the crack faces giving the same perturbation:

$$\langle \sigma \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}(\mu_+ + \mu_-)\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2}, \quad [\![\sigma]\!] = -(\mu_+ - \mu_-)\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2}$$

The asymptotic procedure is based on the *dipole matrix* and *weight function* approach:

The dipole field describes the perturbation produced by a small defect placed in a homogeneous stress field and gives rise to "effective" tractions applied along the crack faces:

$$w(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\left. \nabla u^{(0)} \right|_{\mathbf{Y}} \right] \cdot \left[\mathcal{M} \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Y}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Y}|^2} \right]$$

The small defect is replaced by "effective" tractions on the crack faces giving the same perturbation:

$$\langle \sigma \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}(\mu_+ + \mu_-)\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2}, \quad [\![\sigma]\!] = -(\mu_+ - \mu_-)\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2}$$

2 The weight function allows for the derivation of the corresponding perturbation of the SIF:

$$\Delta K_{\mathrm{III}} = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left(\langle \sigma \rangle(x_1) \llbracket U \rrbracket(-x_1) + \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket(x_1) \langle U \rangle(-x_1) \right) dx_1$$

 $\llbracket U \rrbracket$ symmetric weight function, $\langle U \rangle$ skew-symmetric weight function

3 3 9 9 9 9

A B K A B K

The asymptotic procedure is based on the *dipole matrix* and *weight function* approach:

The dipole field describes the perturbation produced by a small defect placed in a homogeneous stress field and gives rise to "effective" tractions applied along the crack faces:

$$w(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\left. \nabla u^{(0)} \right|_{\mathbf{Y}} \right] \cdot \left[\mathcal{M} \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Y}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Y}|^2} \right]$$

The small defect is replaced by "effective" tractions on the crack faces giving the same perturbation:

$$\langle \sigma \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}(\mu_+ + \mu_-)\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2}, \quad [\![\sigma]\!] = -(\mu_+ - \mu_-)\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2}$$

The weight function allows for the derivation of the corresponding perturbation of the SIF:

$$\Delta K_{\mathrm{III}} = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left(\langle \sigma \rangle(x_1) \llbracket U \rrbracket(-x_1) + \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket(x_1) \langle U \rangle(-x_1) \right) dx_{\mathrm{III}}$$

 $\llbracket U \rrbracket$ symmetric weight function, $\langle U \rangle$ skew-symmetric weight function

Asymptotic formula for the crack advance:

$$\phi = -\frac{2}{A_{\mathrm{III}}^{(0)}} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \Delta K_{\mathrm{III}}^{(j)}$$

EL OQO

A B K A B K

References



N.J. Hardiman. Elliptic elastic inclusion in an infinite elastic plate. *QJMAM***7**, 226–230, 1954.



- H.F. Bueckner. A novel principle for the computation of stress intensity factors. *ZAMM* **46**, 529–545, 1970.
- - J.R. Willis & A.B. Movchan. Dynamic weight functions for a moving crack. *JMPS* **43**, 319–341, 1995.



A. Piccolroaz, G. Mishuris & A.B. Movchan. Symmetric and skew-symmetric weight functions in 2D perturbation models for semi-infinite interfacial cracks. *JMPS* **57**, 1657–1682, 2009.



- A. Piccolroaz, G. Mishuris & A.B. Movchan. Perturbation of Mode III interfacial cracks. *IJF*, **166**, 51–41, 2010.

G. Mishuris, A. Movchan, N. Movchan & A. Piccolroaz. Interaction of an interfacial crack with linear small defects under out-of-plane shear loading. *CMS*, in press.



A. Piccolroaz, G. Mishuris, A. Movchan & N. Movchan. Perturbation analysis of Mode III interfacial crack advancing in a dilute heterogeneous material. Submitted.

ELE OQO

Symmetric and skew-symmetric weight functions in linear fracture mechanics

Green's function

Solution of the displacement field at point x produced by a unit concentrated body force e located at point y.



・ロ> < @> < E> < E> El= のQQ



Fundamental solutions in linear fracture mechanics are known as weight functions.

SIF associated with concentrated point forces applied on the crack faces.

SIF associated with concentrated point forces applied on the crack faces.



2D weight function: $w = w(x'_1)$

 $K = \int_{-\infty}^{0} w(x_1') \underbrace{p(x_1')}_{\text{distributed load}} dx_1'$

= 200

∃ >

SIF associated with concentrated point forces applied on the crack faces.



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ 三 > ◆ 三 > 三 三 の Q @

Singular solution to the elastic crack problem with homogeneous boundary conditions (traction-free crack faces): displacement field $U(x_1, x_2)$, stress field $\Sigma(x_1, x_2)$.

Singular solution to the elastic crack problem with homogeneous boundary conditions (traction-free crack faces): displacement field $U(x_1, x_2)$, stress field $\Sigma(x_1, x_2)$.



Model domain for the **physical solution** The crack lies on the left-hand side

$$\boldsymbol{u} \sim \sum_{j=1}^{3} K_j r^{1/2 + i\epsilon} f_j(\theta)$$
$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \sim \sum_{j=1}^{3} K_j r^{-1/2 + i\epsilon} g_j(\theta)$$

Singular solution to the elastic crack problem with homogeneous boundary conditions (traction-free crack faces): displacement field $U(x_1, x_2)$, stress field $\Sigma(x_1, x_2)$.



Model domain for the **physical solution** The crack lies on the left-hand side

$$\boldsymbol{u} \sim \sum_{j=1}^{3} K_j r^{1/2 + i\epsilon} f_j(\theta)$$
$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \sim \sum_{j=1}^{3} K_j r^{-1/2 + i\epsilon} g_j(\theta)$$



Model domain for the singular solutions The crack lies on the right-hand side

$$U \sim \sum_{j=1}^{3} K_j r^{-1/2 + i\epsilon} F_j(\theta)$$
$$\Sigma \sim \sum_{j=1}^{3} K_j r^{-3/2 + i\epsilon} G_j(\theta)$$

 derivation of SIFs associated to concentrated forces on the crack faces (Bueckner weight functions):

$$w(x'_1, x'_3, x_3) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \llbracket \overline{U} \rrbracket(\beta, \lambda) e^{i\beta x'_1} e^{i\lambda(x'_3 - x_3)} d\beta d\lambda,$$

 derivation of SIFs associated to concentrated forces on the crack faces (Bueckner weight functions):

$$w(x'_1, x'_3, x_3) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \llbracket \overline{U} \rrbracket (\beta, \lambda) e^{i\beta x'_1} e^{i\lambda (x'_3 - x_3)} d\beta d\lambda,$$

 derivation of SIFs associated to a general asymmetrical loading on the crack faces:

$$K(x_3) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \llbracket \overline{U} \rrbracket (\beta, \lambda) \langle \overline{p} \rangle (\beta, \lambda) + \langle \overline{U} \rangle (\beta, \lambda) \llbracket \overline{p} \rrbracket (\beta, \lambda) \right\} e^{-i\lambda x_3} d\beta d\lambda,$$

= 200

 derivation of SIFs associated to concentrated forces on the crack faces (Bueckner weight functions):

$$w(x'_1, x'_3, x_3) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \llbracket \overline{U} \rrbracket (\beta, \lambda) e^{i\beta x'_1} e^{i\lambda (x'_3 - x_3)} d\beta d\lambda,$$

 derivation of SIFs associated to a general asymmetrical loading on the crack faces:

$$K(x_3) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \llbracket \overline{U} \rrbracket(\beta, \lambda) \langle \overline{p} \rangle(\beta, \lambda) + \langle \overline{U} \rangle(\beta, \lambda) \llbracket \overline{p} \rrbracket(\beta, \lambda) \right\} e^{-i\lambda x_3} d\beta d\lambda$$

• evaluation of the constants near high-oder terms in the asymptotics of the solution

 derivation of SIFs associated to concentrated forces on the crack faces (Bueckner weight functions):

$$w(x'_1, x'_3, x_3) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \llbracket \overline{U} \rrbracket (\beta, \lambda) e^{i\beta x'_1} e^{i\lambda (x'_3 - x_3)} d\beta d\lambda,$$

 derivation of SIFs associated to a general asymmetrical loading on the crack faces:

$$K(x_3) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \llbracket \overline{U} \rrbracket (\beta, \lambda) \langle \overline{p} \rangle (\beta, \lambda) + \langle \overline{U} \rangle (\beta, \lambda) \llbracket \overline{p} \rrbracket (\beta, \lambda) \right\} e^{-i\lambda x_3} d\beta d\lambda$$

- evaluation of the constants near high-oder terms in the asymptotics of the solution
- solution of perturbation problems (load and/or geometrical perturbations)

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ □ = ◆ ○ へ ○